(34)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 1691 OF 2003

Petitioner : S.C. Dwivedi,S/o Lallumani Dwivedi, aged about 45 years, Occupation Service, Assistant Development and Extension Officer, Janpad Panchayat Dantewada, R/o R.E.S. Colony, Bacheli, Distt. South Bastar, Dantewada (CG)

Versus

Respondents :

1. Union of India Through its Secretary, Department of Personal And Training, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi
2. State of Chhattisgarh-through Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, DKS Bhawan, Raipur (CG)
3. Director Panchayat, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Raipur, District Raipur (CG)
4. Janpad Panchayat, Dantewada through Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Dantewada, District South Bastar Dantewada (CG)
5. Jila Panchayat Dantewada through Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Dantewada, District South Bastar Dantewada (CG)
6. State of Madhya Pradesh, through Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, counsel for the petitioner.
Smt. B.K. Maity, learned Counsel for Union of India/respondent No.1.
Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer for State/respondents No. 2,3, 4& 5.
Shri S.S. Rajput, learned counsel for respondent No. 6.

ORAL ORDER

(3rd February, 2006)

This petition is filed under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India impugning the order dated 9.10.2002 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No. 2 whereby the petitioner who was working as Asstt. Development and Extension Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Dantewada has been allocated to State of Madhya Pradesh.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that a direction be issued to the respondents to consider his representation expeditiously to which the learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

(35)

3. The petition is disposed of with direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of 8 weeks from the date of representation made by the petitioner, if any. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Priya